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Temporal and Spatial Scales
Research questions

1. What is the cost-optimal combination of infrastructure to reach deep CO$_2$ reductions?
2. How best do we deal with the variability of wind and solar?
3. What is the trade-off between international transmission, storage and sector-coupling?
Need to capture spatial and temporal scope

Wind and solar generation is variable in time and space at different scales:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation</th>
<th>Time scale</th>
<th>Space scale</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diurnal</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Earth circumference</td>
<td>Grid over multiple longitudes, Short-term storage, DSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synoptic</td>
<td>3-10 days</td>
<td>~600 km</td>
<td>Continental-scale grids, Long-term storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>±23.4° latitude</td>
<td>Grid over multiple latitudes, Long-term storage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Short-term storage includes batteries, pumped hydro and thermal energy storage (TES); long-term storage includes chemical storage, hydro reservoirs and long-term TES.

These solutions are not all feasible or cost-effective...
Synoptic scales are key to cost-effectiveness in Europe

Given that wind is cheap and seasonally aligned with peak energy demand in Europe, cost-effective solutions tend to be dominated by wind. But wind has big synoptic-scale variations. These are caused by weather systems, which are bigger than countries and take days to pass, so you need either to integrate wind at the continental scale or use long-term storage.

Source: https://earth.nullschool.net/
Smoothing in Europe versus Germany

Wind duration curve for Europe is more regular and less peaked than that for Germany alone.
Case of Japan

Source: Energynautics
Case of Japan

Wind duration curve shows greater smoothing in Japan (blue) than Germany (green):

Source: Energynautics
Warm-Up: Electricity Sector
Linear optimisation of annual system costs

Given a desired CO₂ reduction, what is the most cost-effective energy system?

Minimise \( \text{Yearly system costs} = \sum_n \left( \text{Annualised capital costs} \right) + \sum_{n,t} \text{(Marginal costs)} \)

subject to

- meeting energy demand at each node \( n \) (e.g. countries) and time \( t \) (e.g. hours of year)
- wind, solar, hydro (variable renewables) availability \( \forall n, t \)
- electricity transmission constraints between nodes
- (installed capacity) \( \leq \) (geographical potential for renewables)
- CO₂ constraint (95% reduction compared to 1990)
- Flexibility from gas plants, battery storage, hydrogen storage, networks
Costs and assumptions for the electricity sector (projections for 2030)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Overnight Cost [€]</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>FOM [%/a]</th>
<th>Lifetime [a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind onshore</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>kW&lt;sub&gt;el&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind offshore</td>
<td>2506</td>
<td>kW&lt;sub&gt;el&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar PV</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>kW&lt;sub&gt;el&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>kW&lt;sub&gt;el&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery storage</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>kW&lt;sub&gt;el&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen storage</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>kW&lt;sub&gt;el&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission line</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>MWkm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest rate of 7%, storage efficiency losses, only gas has CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, gas marginal costs.

Batteries can store for 6 hours at maximal rating (efficiency 0.9 × 0.9), hydrogen storage for 168 hours (efficiency 0.75 × 0.58).
Europe: One node per country
Global constraints on CO$_2$ and transmission volumes

CO$_2$ limits are respected, given emissions $e_{n,s}$ for each fuel source $s$:

$$\sum_{n,s,t} g_{n,s,t} e_{n,s} \leq \text{CAP}_{\text{CO}_2} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mu_{\text{CO}_2}$$

We enforce a reduction of CO$_2$ emissions by 95% compared to 1990 levels, in line with German and EU targets for 2050.

Transmission volume limits are respected, given length $d_{\ell}$ and capacity $\bar{P}_{\ell}$ of each line:

$$\sum_{\ell} d_{\ell} \bar{P}_{\ell} \leq \text{CAP}_{\text{trans}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mu_{\text{trans}}$$

We successively change the transmission limit, to assess the costs of balancing power in time (i.e. storage) versus space (i.e. transmission networks).
Costs: No interconnecting transmission allowed

Technology by energy:

- Offshore wind: 10%
- Onshore wind: 35%
- Solar: 37%
- Run of river: 4%
- Gas: 5%
- Hydro: 9%

Average cost €86/MWh:

Countries must be self-sufficient at all times; lots of storage and some gas to deal with fluctuations of wind and solar.
Dispatch with no interconnecting transmission

For Great Britain with no interconnecting transmission, excess wind is either stored as hydrogen or curtailed:
Costs: Cost-optimal expansion of interconnecting transmission

Technology by energy:

- Offshore wind: 8%
- Onshore wind: 56%
- Solar: 17%
- Run-of-river: 5%
- Gas: 5%
- Hydro: 10%

Average cost €64/MWh:

Large transmission expansion; onshore wind dominates. This optimal solution may run into public acceptance problems.
Almost all excess wind can be now be exported:
Electricity Only Costs Comparison

• Average total system costs can be as low as € 64/MWh

• Energy is dominated by wind (64% for the cost-optimal system), followed by hydro (15%) and solar (17%)

• Restricting transmission results in more storage to deal with variability, driving up the costs by up to 34%

• Many benefits already locked in at a few multiples of today’s grid
Grid expansion CAP shadow price as CAP relaxed

- With overhead lines the optimal system has around 7 times today’s international transmission volume.
- With underground cables (5-8 times more expensive) the optimal system has around 3 times today’s international transmission volume.
Different flexibility options have difference temporal scales

- Hydro reservoirs are seasonal
- Hydrogen storage is synoptic
Different flexibility options have different temporal scales.

- Pumped hydro and battery storage are daily.
These results are described in:


All input data, model code and output data are available under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence at:

- https://zenodo.org/record/804337
Coupling Electricity to Heating and Transport
Sector Coupling

Idea: Couple the electricity sector to heating and mobility.

This enables decarbonisation of these sectors and offers more flexibility to the power system.

Battery electric vehicles can change their charging pattern to benefit the system and even feed back into the grid if necessary.

Heat is much easier and cheaper to store than electricity, even over many months.
Couple the electricity sector (electric demand, generators, electricity storage, grid) to electrified transport and low-T heating demand (model covers 75% of final energy consumption in 2014). Also allow production of synthetic hydrogen and methane.
Transport sector: Electrification of Transport

Weekly profile for the transport demand based on statistics gathered by the German Federal Highway Research Institute (BASt).

- All road and rail transport in each country is electrified, where it is not already electrified
- Because of higher efficiency of electric motors, final energy consumption 3.5 times lower at 1014 TWh\textsubscript{el}/a for the 30 countries than today
- In model can replace Electric Vehicles (EVs) with Fuel Cell Vehicles (FCVs) consuming hydrogen. Advantage: hydrogen cheap to store. Disadvantage: efficiency of fuel cell only 60%, compared to 90% for battery discharging.
Transport sector: Battery Electric Vehicles

Availability (i.e. fraction of vehicles plugged in) of Battery Electric Vehicles (BEV).
BEV production costs 10-20% more expensive than Diesel in 2030, but lower fuel costs.

- Assumed that all passenger cars are Battery Electric Vehicles (BEVs), each with 50 kWh battery available (rest as buffer) and 11 kW charging power.
- Assumed that all BEVs have time-dependent availability, averaging 80%, maximum 95% (at night).
- No changes in consumer behaviour assumed (e.g. car-sharing), but even with 50% reduction in BEVs, the results are barely effected (0.1%).
- BEVs are treated as exogenous (capital costs NOT included in calculation).
Heating sector: Many Options with Thermal Energy Storage (TES)

- All space and water heating in the residential and services sectors is considered, with no additional efficiency measures (conservative) - total heating demand is 3231 TWh\(_{th}\)/a.

- Heating demand can be met by resistive heaters, gas boilers, solar thermal, Combined-Heat-and-Power (CHP) units and heat pumps, which have an average Coefficient of Performance of just under 3. No industrial waste heat.

- Thermal Energy Storage (TES) is available to the system as hot water tanks.

Heat demand profile from 2011 in all 30 countries using population-weighted average daily T in each country, degree-day approx. and scaled to Eurostat total heating demand.
Centralised District Heating versus Decentralised Heating

We model both fully decentralised heating and cases where up to 60% of heat demand is met with district heating in northern countries.

**Decentral heating** can be supplied by:

- Gas boilers
- Resistive heaters
- Small CHPs
- Small solar thermal
- Water tanks with short time constant $\tau = 3$ days
- Heat pumps

**Central heating** can be supplied via district heating networks by:

- Gas boilers
- Resistive heaters
- Large CHPs
- Large solar thermal
- Water tanks with long time constant $\tau = 180$ days

CHP feasible dispatch:
### Cost and other assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Overnight Cost [€]</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>FOM [%/a]</th>
<th>Lifetime [a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sabatier gas</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{gas}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat pump th</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{th}</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistive heater th</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{th}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas boiler th</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{th}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentral solar thermal th</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{th}</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central solar thermal th</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{th}</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentral CHP el</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{el}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central CHP el</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{el}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central water tanks</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District heating th</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>kW\textsubscript{th}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs oriented towards Henning & Palzer (2014, Fraunhofer ISE)
We now consider 10 scenarios where flexibility is added in stages:

1. **electricity only**: no sector coupling
2. **sector**: sector coupling to heating and transport with no use of sector flexibility
3. **sector BEV**: sector coupling; Battery Electric Vehicles (BEV) can shift their charging time
4. **sector BEV V2G**: sector coupling; BEV can in addition feed back into the grid (V2G)
5. **sector FC50**: sector coupling; 50% of BEV replaced by FCV
6. **sector FC100**: sector coupling; 100% of BEV replaced by FCV
7. **sector TES**: sector coupling with short-term Thermal Energy Storage (TES) $\tau = 3$ days
8. **sector central**: sector coupling with 60% district heating in North and long-term TES
9. **sector all flex**: sector coupling with all flexibility options
10. **sector all flex central**: sector coupling with all flexibility options and 60% district heating
From electricity to sector coupling

- With sector coupling costs are over twice as much because of higher energy demand, heating units and strong seasonality of heating demand.

- Decentralised heating demand peak (1260 GW$_{th}$) met by heat pumps (500 GW$_{th}$), gas boilers (750 GW$_{th}$), resistive heaters (360 GW$_{th}$) and CHP (165 GW$_{th}$).

- No additional flexibility activated.

- 800 TWh$_{th}$/a of natural gas used (limited by CO2 cap); 725 TWh$_{th}$/a of hydrogen produced; 530 TWh$_{th}$/a of syngas produced, i.e. 40% of methane used is synthetic.
• Over the year heat pumps (green) provide most of the heat energy, as in the second week shown here.

• However when demand is high, heat pump COP is low and there is no wind or sun, gas boilers must step in (orange), as in first week shown here, to cover most of the heat demand.
Using Electric Vehicle flexibility

**Scenario comparison with no inter-connecting transmission**

- Shifting the charging time to benefit the system reduces system costs by 10%.
- This Demand-Side Management reduced the need for stationary storage by half.
- Allowing BEVs to feed back into the grid (V2G) reduces costs by a further 10%.
- This eliminates the need for batteries and allows much more solar to be integrated.

With V2G total solar capacity jumps from 1,764 GW to 2,426 GW.
• Aggregated Battery Electric Vehicle state of charge in Germany shows very little day-to-day cycling which would degrade the battery, even with V2G and lots of solar.

• Bigger longer-term synoptic variations driven by wind.

• NB: This shows only the SOC available to the V2G (50 kWh per vehicle); there is also a buffer that is not available to V2G.

• Only 0.1% change in total costs if V2G capacity reduced by 50%.
Using Fuel Cells instead of Electric Vehicles

- The lower efficiency of fuel cells (60%) means more energy has to be generated, leading to higher overall costs.
- These higher costs are NOT compensated by the extra flexibility of cheap hydrogen storage.
- FCEVs are also more expensive than BEVs, then comes the hydrogen infrastructure costs...
Using heating sector flexibility

- Allowing short-term Thermal Energy Storage (TES) ($\tau = 3$ days) has only a 2% effect on the costs.

- Using 60% centralised heating enables the use of long-term TES ($\tau = 180$ days). In this case solar thermal is built to fill the TES in the summer. The cost decrease is mostly compensated by the cost of the district heating.

HOWEVER, reduced natural gas distribution costs NOT ...
Centralised heating: charging TES with solar thermal in summer

In summer solar thermal collectors (orange) and resistive heaters (pink) fill up the long-term centralised thermal energy storage (purple).
In winter, demand is met by a combination of CHP (red), resistive heating (pink) and the discharge from the long-term centralised TES (cyan).
Scenario comparison with no inter-connecting transmission

System cost [EUR billion per year]
Scenario comparison with optimal inter-connecting transmission

System cost [EUR billion per year]
Sector Coupling with No Extra Flexibility

- Solution with no inter-connecting transmission costs 33% more than optimal transmission (comparable to electricity-only scenario)
- Gas boilers replace CHPs as transmission increases, since transmission reduces need for gas for balancing in electricity sector
- Need stationary batteries and hydrogen storage to balance RES variability
- Transmission allows cheaper wind to substitute for solar power
• The benefits of inter-connecting transmission are now much weaker: it reduces costs by only 16%

• Even with no transmission, the system is cheaper than all levels of transmission for sector-coupling with no sector flexibility

• System costs are comparable to today’s (with same cost assumptions, today’s system comes out around €377 billion per year, excluding costs of greenhouse gases and airborne pollution, estimated by UBA to be €130 billion in 2014 in Germany alone)
Storage energy levels: different time scales

The different scales on which storage flexibility work can be seen clearly when examining the state of charge.

- Long-Term Thermal Energy Storage (TES) has a dominant seasonal pattern, charging in summer and discharging in winter. Additional synoptic-scale fluctuations are super-imposed.

- Battery Electric Vehicles (BEV) with Vehicle-To-Grid (V2G) show large fluctuations on daily and synoptic scales.
Unfinished results

- Autonomous car sharing ⇒ times with zero BEV availability.
- Thermo-chemical storage allows long-term storage, decentrally (e.g. CaCl$_2$, CaO, silica gel).
- Sensitivity to heating sector efficiency.
- Demand from industry, aviation, shipping.
- Higher spatial resolution to capture full transmission grid and resource spatial variation.
Spatial resolution: Electricity sector with and without grid expansion

256 clusters, branch limit of 1 of today's capacities

- AC existing (= 10 GW)
- DC existing (= 10 GW)
- Capacity (= 25 GW)

256 clusters, branch limit of 3 of today's capacities

- AC expansion (= 10 GW)
- DC expansion (= 10 GW)
- Capacity (= 25 GW)

Legend:
- Offshore wind
- Onshore wind
- Solar
- Gas
- Hydro
- Hydrogen storage
- Battery storage
Spatial resolution: Behaviour as limit on transmission expansion is relaxed

- Electricity-only results very similar with full transmission grid (rather than one node per country)
- Average total system costs can be as low as € 67/MWh
- Non-linear cost reduction of 20% as grid expanded; wind replaces solar and batteries
- However most of cost reduction happens with much small expansion; 80% of cost reduction already with 50% grid expansion compared to today
Idea of Open Energy Modelling

The whole chain from raw data to modelling results should be open:

Open data + free software ⇒ Transparency + Reproducibility

There's an initiative for that! Sign up for the mailing list / come to the next workshop:


Source: openmod initiative
Python for Power System Analysis (PyPSA)

The FIAS software PyPSA is online at http://pypsa.org/ and on github. It can do:

- Static power flow
- Linear optimal power flow
- Security-constrained linear optimal power flow
- Unit commitment
- Total electricity system investment optimisation

It has models for storage, meshed AC grids, meshed DC grids, hydro plants, variable renewables and sector coupling.
PyPSA is being actively used by around a dozen institutions (that we know of…) and the website has been visited by people from 120+ countries:
Conclusions
Conclusions

- This is no single solution for highly renewable systems, but a family of solutions with different costs and compromises
- Generation costs always dominate total costs, but the grid can cause higher generation costs if expansion is restricted
- Cost-optimal grid expansion favours wind over solar
- Much of the need for stationary storage can be eliminated by sector-coupling: in particular, the use of flexible charging from (and discharging into) the grid by battery electric vehicles can reduce system costs by up to 20%, and enable more solar integration
- With sector coupling, grid expansion becomes less important
- Understanding the need for flexibility at different temporal and spatial scales is key to mastering the complex interactions in the energy system
As transmission volumes increase, costs become more unequally distributed...
Distribution of prices

...while market prices converge.

![Chart showing distribution of local marginal prices and allowed interconnecting transmission volumes across countries.]
Generator dispatch cannot exceed weather-dependent availability (but *can* be curtailed):
Expansion potentials for wind and solar

Expansion potentials are limited by land usage and conservation areas; potential yearly energy yield at each site limited by weather conditions.
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